PATENT COOPERATION TREATY





REC'D 0.8 FEB 2005

WIPO

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABIL

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70) PCT/PTC

22 JUL 2005 Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/000145 Priority date (day/month/year) 09.01.2004 22.01.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K7/16 Applicant UNILEVER N.V. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet. 2. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising: 3. a.

Sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows: sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a b. 🔲 sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions). This report contains indications relating to the following items: 4. Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II **Priority** ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report 08.07.2004 01.02.2005 Name and mailing address of the International preliminary examining authority: Authorized Officer European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin Boeker, R Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840 Telephone No. +49 30 25901-338



International application No. PCT/EP2004/000145

•	B	ox No. I	Desir vitt		-			
•								
•	I. W fil	With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it is filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
		 □ This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of: □ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) □ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) □ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) 						juage ,
2	. W ha re _l	ith regard <i>ve been</i>	I to the elements* (the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which iving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this is not annexed to this report):				
	De	escription	Pages					
	1-1	15		as originally filed				
	Cla	aims, Nun	bers					
	1-8	:		as originally filed				
		a seque	ence listing and/or a	ny related table(s)	- see Sup	olemental Box R	elating to Seq	uence Listing
3.		The am the co the co the co the co	endments have resilescription, pages laims, Nos. rawings, sheets/figs equence listing <i>(spe</i> able(s) related to se	ulted in the cance	llation of:	·		
4.	had Sup	This rep not beer plementa	Ort bas boon ootobii	about a ses	_	endments annexo beyond the disclo	ed to this repo osure as filed,	ort and listed below as indicated in the
		☐ the di☐ the se	airns, Nos. rawings, sheets/figs equence listing <i>(spe</i> able(s) related to se	<i>cify)</i> : quence listing <i>(sp</i>	ecify):			
			4 applies, so			eets may be	marked "su	perseded."





International application No. PCT/EP2004/000145

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-3,5-8

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-8 1-8

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US-A-5 976 506 D2: US-B1-6 355 227 D3: US-A-5 597 553

2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1- 3 and 5 - 8 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

D1 already discloses oral compositions comprising chalk (calcium carbonate) and perlite. The perlite is used for polishing teeth (see D1: example 5, agglomerate 3; column 6, lines 20 -22; column 2, line 2 - column 3, line 2). Consequently, D1 destroys novelty of Claims 1, 3, 5 - 8.

D2 discloses oral compositions comprising calcium carbonate and perlite. The perlite is used for polishing teeth (see D2: example 1, 2; column 1, lines 19 -20; column 2, lines 29, 30; column 3, lines 10, 11).

Consequently, D2 destroys novelty of Claims 1, 2, 5 - 8.

D3 discloses oral compositions comprising calcium carbonate and perlite. The perlite is used for polishing teeth (see D3: column 3, lines 39, 40; claims). Consequently, D3 destroys novelty of Claims 1, 2, 5 - 8.

- 3 The Applicant has not demonstrated that the use of ground natural chalk leads to any technical effect. Hence, the additional technical feature of Claim 4 cannot be a basis for an acknowledgement of inventive step.
- Claims 5 7 attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of properties of the oral composition, i.e. a pH range, a relative dental abrasion and a percentage polish. It is however normally required to define the invention for which protection is sought in terms of technical features.
 Should the properties mentioned in claims 5 7 be inherent properties of the compositions of independent claim 1, claims 5 7 are superfluous and could be deleted.



However, if the properties are meant to be limiting features in respect of the compositions of independent claim 1, claims 5 - 7 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined, because the technical features necessary for achieving these properties are not included in claims 5 - 7.

Furthermore the properties mentioned in Claims 6 and 7, "relative dental abrasion" and a "percentage polish", are also unclear in the sense of Article 6 PCT because the method of their determination is not specified in the claims.